WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-392 of 2019.</u>

	Satya Prakash Mishra. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal& Others.	
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
03 03-07-2019	For the Applicant : Mr. M. N. Roy, Advocate. For the State Respondents : None.	3
	Affidavit of service has been filed be kept on record.	
	The instant application has been filed challenging the punishment order dated 22-11-2018 whereby the following punishment has been imposed:-	
	 (i) Recovery from pay the entire amount of pecuniary loss caused to the Government by negligence to the tune of Rs. 15,500 (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Five Hundred only) and; (ii) Reduction of basis pay by three 	

Satva I	Prakash	Mishra
---------	---------	--------

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

ase No <u>. OA-392 of 2</u> Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1	_	of parties when necessa
	increments in the time scale of	3
	pay held by him, for one year.	
	He will not earn any increment	
	during the period of his	
	undergoing penalty i.e. 1 (one)	
	year from the date of issue of	
	this order. On completion of	
	one year and on expiry of the	
	period the reduction will not	
	have the effect of postponing	
	his future increments.	
	The charged officer shall be	
	debarred from promotion during	
	the period of his undergoing	
	penalty and such debarment	
	shall not be treated as penalty.	
	As per the applicant, the punishment is bad	
	in law as the applicant has been punished	
	with 2(two) punishment i.e. stoppage of	f
	increment as well as debarment of	f
	promotion, which is not permissible as per	
	Rules as well as the decision of this Tribunal	
	However the stoppage of 3(three) increments	

Satya Prakash Mishra

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 	

Form No.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-392 o	<u>f 2019</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	in one year is also not practically possible	
	and admissible as per Rules. Hence the	
	applicant has prayed for interim protection.	
	None for the respondent though	
	affidavit of service has been filed. Since there	
	is prima facie case in favour of the applicant,	
	the respondents are directed not to give effect	
	of the punishment till the next date. In the	
	mean time respondent is also directed to take	
	instruction for making appropriate	
	submission. The applicant is directed to	
	communicate the order to the respondent.	
	Let the matter be listed on 19-09-	
	2019 under the same heading.	
	Plain copy.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	
Mihir		

	Satya Prakash Mishra
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-392 of	<u>f 2019</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
		<u> </u>